

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants - Allegation of allowing irregular and unauthorized constructions by Town Planning Officials in Vijayawada Municipal Corporation - Departmental proceedings initiated against Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO, under rule 20 of APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 - Inquiry conducted - Enquiry Report of the Inquiring Authority submitted - Punishment of withhold of one annual grade increment without cumulative effect - Imposed - Orders - Issued.

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Vig.I(i)) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.

Dated:01.12.2012

Read the following:-

1. G.O.Rt.No.675, MA & UD (Vig.I(i)) Department, Dated 15.6.2006.
2. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 29.8.2006.
3. G.O.Rt.No.591, MA&UD (Vig.I(i)) Deptt., dated 25.4.2008.
4. G.O.Rt.No.849, MA&UD (Vig.I(i)) Deptt., dated 11.6.2008.
5. From Smt.Chandana Khan, IAS., COI, D.O.Lr. No.518/COI.CK/2008, dated 29.9.2009.
6. Govt.Memo.No.14055/Vig.I(i)/2005- , MA&UD Deptt., dt.30.7.2010.
7. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 9.8.2010.
8. From the DTCP, Hyd. Endt.No.2026/2002/V.Cell, dated 1.8.2011.
9. Govt.Memo.No.14066/Vig.I(i)/2005-33, MA&UD Deptt., dated 28.3.2012.
10. From Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO, Representation dated 10.4.2012.

ORDER:

Whereas, orders were issued framing the charges among others, against Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO, Vijayawada Municipal Corporation, vide G.O 1st read above, on violation of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964, in terms of Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 with a direction to submit written statement of defense.

2. In the reference 3rd read above, orders were issued appointing Smt.K.Chandana Khan IAS., Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Department, as Inquiry Authority to enquire into the charges framed against the Charged Officer. In the reference 4th read above, Sri Y.Srinivasa Rao, District Inspector, Guntur, ACB, Vijayawada Range, was appointed as presenting officer, to present the case before the Inquiring Authority.

3. In the reference 5th read above, the Smt.K.Chandana Khan IAS., Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration Department, Inquiring Authority has furnished Enquiry Report to Government for taking further action.

4. And whereas, in the reference 6th read above, while communicating Enquiry Report of the Enquiry Officer, the Charged Officer, Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO, has been requested to submit his written explanation. The Charged Officer, has submitted his explanation and requested for dropping further action.

(pto)

// 2 //

5. Government after careful examination of the matter after duly obtaining advice of the advisory authority, have observed that the charge that irregular construction by way of deviation from the approved plans has taken place is proved. Further the fact that they have been regularized later on does not devolve the responsibility of 14 charged officers. The Government therefore, decided to withhold one Annual Grade Increment without cumulative effect against Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO,, as the charge is held proved. Accordingly, Government hereby impose a punishment of withholding of one annual grade increment without cumulative effect against the AO, Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO.

6. The Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad shall take necessary action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**B.SAM BOB
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To
Sri P.Raghava Rao, TPBO
through the Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad.
The Director of Town and Country Planning, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, A.P., Hyderabad.
The Secretary to Vigilance Commission, APVC, Hyderabad.
Sf/Sc

// Forwarded by Order //

SECTION OFFICER